Buprenorphine Induction, Taper, Diversion

Karen Miotto, MD

I have nothing to disclose

Overview

Induction

-In clinic

- -Home induction
- -Hospital/Emergency Department (ED) Induction
- •Tapering
- •Urine Testing
- Diversion

•DEA

Induction Basics

- Medically monitored startup of buprenorphine therapy
- · Administered:
 - When individual has abstained from using opioids for 12–24 hours
 - -Use COWS to measure withdrawal severity
 - Start while in mild-moderate withdrawal
- If the patient is not in the early stages of withdrawal (i.e., if he or she has other opioids in the bloodstream), then the buprenorphine dose could precipitate acute withdrawal

TABLE 7 COWS		
Symptoms	Scores	Examples
Resting pulse rate	0-4	0=80 or less; 1= 81-100; 2=101-120; 4=120 or greater
Sweating	0-4	0=none; 4=sweat streaming from face
Restlessness	0-5	0=sits still; 5=unable to sit still (even for a few seconds)
Pupil size	0-5	0=normal; 5=dilated (only iris rim visible)
Bone or joint aches	0-4	0=none; 4=severe discomfort
Runny nose or tearing	0-4	0=none; 4=constant
GI upset	0-5	0=none; 5=multiple episodes of vomiting or diarrhea
Tremor	0-4	0=none; 4=gross tremor
Yawning	0-4	0=none; 4=yawning several times/minute
Anxiety & Irritibility	0-4	0=none; 4=severe, precluding participation
Gooseflesh skin	0-5	0=smooth; 5=prominent piloerection



SAMHSA Recommendation

Center for Substance Abuse Guidelines (TIP 40):

- Administer the first dose of 2-4 mg under observation in the office or inpatient setting
- Keep the patient in the office for at least an hour to determine the effect of the first dose, and

then document the effect of the first doses

- Depending on the amount and type of opioid use, the first day's dose may range from 2 to 16 mgs
- If withdrawal occurs after the patient leaves the office, request that the patient return for

withdrawal assessment

Observed Buprenorphine Induction

PRE-INDUCTION	INDUCTION	STABILIZATION	STABILIZATION
Day-2	Day 1	Day 2	DAY 3
Alcohol and Drug Screening and Assessment	Withdrawal Assessment	Assessment for Dose increase	
Medical History Medications LABS Pregnancy Test UDS	Observe sublingual administration 1 tablet	Observe sublingual administration 1 tablet	Observe sublingual administration 1 tablet
Education Withdrawal Specifics	RX: 1 tab home	RX: 1 tab home	RX: 1 week supply
	Daily Counseling	Daily Counseling	Daily Counseling





Home Inductions: Safe, Feasible?

- (Patterson et al, 2014) (n=485)
 - Patients who were prescribed buprenorphine from January 2006 to June 2013
 - Patients received an instructional handout, a 1week written prescription for buprenorphine, and then sent home and followed with telephone support
 - Results:
 - Buprenorphine induction was feasible and safe
 - No serious adverse effects

	mie induction kit
Contents	Purpose
Instruction sheet	
What's in the kit?	Guide to medications
When to start Suboxone	Guide to timing of
	treatment initiation
Things not to do	Guide to appropriate
	behaviors/treatment
How to take Suboxone	Facilitate correct dosing
Plan	Provide schedules and
	facilitate follow-up
What was taken?	Manage/Track medications
	taken

Medicat	ions		
No. of p	ills Medication	Dose (mg)	
10	Buprenorphine/ Naloxone	2/0.5	Initiate buprenorphine treatment (Day 1)
4	Buprenorphine/ Naloxone	8/2.0	Continue buprenorphine treatment (Days 2-3)
6	Ibuprofen	200	Manage withdrawal symptoms: pain
6	Clonidine	0.1	Manage withdrawal symptoms: anxiety
Patterson et al, 2014			

Retention Rates of Office-based Inductions vs. Home-based Inductions

Sohler et al, 2009

- Two year program where all patients were given officebased inductions and in the following two years gave patients the opportunity to choose to have buprenorphine inductions at home or the physician's office

- 51 patients (58.6%) had home-based inductions and 36 (41.4%) had office-based inductions

- Results:

- · Did not indicate superiority of either induction type
- No indication that of superiority of either induction type on experiencing difficulties with the induction process

Comparison of Induction Experiences

- Nielsen et al, 2014
 - Multi-site randomized clinical trial (n=69)
 - Examines induction of participants who selfreported primary PO use of methadone, ERoxychodone, IR-oxychodone, and hydrocodone
 - Results:
 - Type of prescription opioid does not predict induction outcomes
 - Having a COWS score too low at baseline more often led to increased COWS score after the 1st dose (precipitated withdrawal)
 - Demonstrated the importance of not starting induction prior to the presence of at least moderate withdrawal symptoms

Comparison of Induction Experiences

Participant characteristics	ER Oxycodone n = 219	IR Oxycodone n = 107	Methadone n = 41	Hydrocodone n = 202	χ^2/F	p-value
Mean pre-dose COWS Score	12.7 (SD3.5)	12.4 (SD3.5)	13.0 (SD3.6)	12.7 (SD3.5)	0.301	.825
Mean post-dose COWS Score	5.3 (SD3.9)	5.8 (SD3.9)	5.6 (SD4.8)	5.9 (SD4.1)	0.833	.476
Mean reduction in COWS post dose	7.37 (SD4.7)	6.6 (SD5.4)	7.4 (SD5.7)	6.8 (SD5.1)	0.845	.470
Increase COWS post dose	8 (3.7%)	11 (10.3%)	3 (7.3%)	10 (5%)	6.368	.095
n = -453 n = 529 $n^2 = 543$, χ^2 not reported as >25% of cells had	<5 or one cell had value of	of 0				



- A recent systematic review found that in fixed dose studies, low doses of BUP were less effective than higher doses (n=740)
 - BUP decreases opioid use by eliminating craving and withdrawal symptoms and blocking the reinforcing effects of opioids
 - low dose (<u><</u>8), moderate dose (>8<u><</u>24), high dose (<24)

Jacobs (2014) The American Journal on Addictions



Hospital/Emergency-Department Initiated Buprenorphine Induction

JAMA (D'Onofrio, 2015)

- A randomized clinical trial (n=329) examined the efficacy of different opioid treatment interventions:
 - referral
 - brief intervention
 - screening, brief intervention, ED-initiated treatment with buprenorphine/naloxone
- Results:
 - ED-initiated buprenorphine treatment vs brief intervention and referral significantly:
 - · increased engagement in addiction treatment
 - · reduced self-reported illicit opioid use
 - · decreased use of inpatient addiction treatment services

- but did not:

 significantly decrease the rates of urine samples that tested positive for opioids or of HIV risk

Buprenorphine treatment for hospitalized, opioiddependent patients: a randomized clinical trial

JAMA Intern Med. 2014 Liebschutz JM et al

- OBJECTIVE: To determine whether buprenorphine administration during hospitalization and linkage to OAT increase entry and engagement in OAT, and decrease illicit opioid use at 6 months
- DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS: 663 hospitalized, opioid-dependent patients. 139 were assigned to the detoxification (n = 67) or linkage (n = 72) INTERVENTIONS: 5 day buprenorphine detoxification protocol or buprenorphine induction, and postdischarge transition to OAT

RESULTS: Linkage participants were more likely to enter buprenorphine OAT than detoxification group (52 [72.2%] vs 8 [11.9%], P < .001).

At 6 months, 12 linkage participants (16.7%) and 2 detoxification participants (3.0%) were receiving buprenorphine OAT (P = .007).

Participants randomized to the linkage group reported less illicit opioid use in the 30 days before the 6-month interview.

Linkage to OAT is an effective for engaging medically hospitalized patients who are not seeking addiction treatment

Buprenorphine Tapering

- Review studies
- Discuss pro's and con's of tapering
- Clinical approaches to tapering
 - -Taper to low dose or taper to 0
 - -Fast or Slow

Why Taper Buprenorphine?

- Patient non-compliance
- Reduce side effects
- Financial issues
- Entering a controlled environment (e.g. incarceration)
- Patient desire to be off medication

Tapering Buprenorphine: Finding

- Most research studies to date indicate that tapering buprenorphine to zero usually leads to relapse
- Better outcomes typically occur with ongoing medication assisted treatment
- Have a solid justification and support for recovery if you plan to taper a patient completely off medication

Cochrane Meta-analysis: Bup vs Clonidine Treatment Completion

	Study or subgroup	Buprenorphine n/N	Adrenergic agonist n/N	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H,Random,95% Cl
-	l Inpatient					
	Cheskin 1994	10/12	8/13		8.3 %	1.35 [0.82, 2.23]
	Collins 2005	27/37	6/34		5.5 %	4.14 [1.95, 8.77]
	Ling 2005	59/77	8/36		6.8 %	3.45 [1.85, 6.43]
	Nigam 1993	22/34	22/38	+	10.2 %	1.12 [0.77, 1.61]
	Ponizovsky 2006	90/100	50/100	•	12.4 %	1.80 [1.46, 2.21]
	Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 208 (Buprenorph Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.16; C	260 hine), 94 (Adrenergic a Chi ² = 18.61, df = 4 (P	221 gonist) = 0.00094); I ² =79%	-	43.1 %	1.93 [1.27, 2.92]
	Test for overall effect: Z = 3.0	8 (P = 0.0021)				
	Janiri 1994	11/13	11/13	+	10.7 %	1.00 [0.72, 1.39]
	Ling 2005	46/157	4/74		3.8 %	5.42 [2.03, 14.49]
	Lintzeris 2002A	50/58	32/56	•	11.9 %	1.51 [1.18, 1.94]
	Marsch 2005	13/18	7/18		6.5 %	1.86 [0.97, 3.54]
	O'Connor 1997	43/53	36/55	-	12.1 %	1.24 [0.98, 1.56]
	Raistrick 2005	70/107	47/103	-	11.8 %	1.43 [1.11, 1.84]
	Subtotal (95% CI) Total events: 233 (Buprenorp) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.07; C Test for overall effect: Z = 2.7	406 hine), 137 (Adrenergic Chi ² = 17.16, df = 5 (P 9 (P = 0.0053)	319 agonist) = 0.004); ² =71%	•	56.9 %	1.45 [1.12, 1.88]
	Total (95% CI)	666	540	•	100.0 %	1.64 [1.31, 2.06]
	Total events: 441 (Buprenorp) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.10; 0 Test for overall effect: Z = 4.2	hine), 231 (Adrenergic Thi ² = 42.42, df = 10 (F 6 (P = 0.000020)	agonist) ><0.00001); I² =76%			
(Gowing et.al, 2	009)		Favo	0.05 0.2 I 5 20 ours adrenergic Favours bupren	arphine	

Buprenorphine Tapering

- JAMA (Fiellin et al, 2014)
 - 14-week randomized clinical trial (n=113 prescription opioid dependent subjects)
 - 2-mg decrease every 3 days for 3 weeks
 - Tapering was less efficacious than ongoing maintenance treatment in patients
 - Had fewer maximum consecutive weeks of opioid abstinence and were less likely to complete trial
 - Taper schedule? Opioids?



- Compare the relative advantage of two taper schedules (7 vs. 28 days) of Suboxone following four weeks of stabilization
- Purpose was not to advocate detoxification or short-term treatment... whether or not a patient should go off is another matter!
- A prior study (Amass et al., 1994) compared a 36 to a 12 day taper in a small sample and found advantage for the longer tapering schedule.

(Ling et al, (2009) Addictions)

Group	o Assig	Inment	
	Taper	Group	Total
	7 day taper	28 day taper	
8 mg	22	26	48 (9.3%)
16 mg	68	73	141 (27.3%)
24 mg	165	162	327 (63.4%)
	255 (49.4%)	261 (50.6%)	516 (100%)
	8 mg 16 mg 24 mg	Froup AssigTaper7 day taper8 mg2216 mg6824 mg165255 (49.4%)	Taper Group Taper Group 7 day taper 28 day taper 8 mg 22 26 16 mg 68 73 24 mg 165 162 255 (49.4%) 261 (50.6%)



Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2011 Dec;68(12):1238-46. Epub 2011 Nov 7. (1)

Adjunctive counseling during brief and extended buprenorphine-naloxone treatment for prescription opioid dependence: a 2-phase randomized controlled trial.

Weiss RD, Potter JS, Fiellin DA,.

OBJECTIVE:

To evaluate the efficacy of brief and extended buprenorphine hydrochloridenaloxone hydrochloride treatment, with different counseling intensities, for patients dependent on prescription opioids. N= 653

DESIGN:

Multisite, randomized clinical trial using a 2-phase adaptive treatment research design. <u>phase 1: included 2-week buprenorphine-naloxone</u> <u>stabilization, 2-week taper, and 8-week postmedication follow-up</u>. Patients with successful opioid use outcomes exited the study; unsuccessful patients entered

phase 2: extended (12-week) buprenorphine-naloxone treatment, 4week taper, and 8-week postmedication follow-up.

INTERVENTIONS:

In both phases, patients were randomized to standard medical management (SMM) or SMM plus opioid dependence counseling; all received buprenorphine-naloxone.





- Low Dose or Taper to 0?
 - Tapering down and stabilizing on a low dose of 2 mg or 4 mg per day is a perfectly acceptable outcome
- Fast or Slow?
 - -The data we have indicate a possible slight advantage and certainly no disadvantage of the fast taper (e.g. 7 days) if goal is to get to zero
 - -No harm in slow taper if patient prefers



Federally Regulated Testing Opioid Cut Off

Initial test analyte	Initial test cutoff (ng/mL)	Confirmatory test analyte	Confirmatory test cutoff (ng/mL)
Marijuana metabolites	50	THCA	15
Cocaine metabolites	300	Benzoylecgonine	150
Opiate metabolites • Codeine/morphine ^a • 6-MAM	2000 10	Codeine Morphine 6-MAM	2000 2000 10
Phencyclidine	25	Phencyclidine	25
Amphetamines • Amphetamine/methamphetamine ^b • MDMA	500 500	Amphetamine Methamphetamine ^c MDMA MDA MDEA	250 250 250 250 250 250



Table 8. Examples of cross-reacting com	of potential false positives due to pounds for certain immunoassays
Immunoassay affected ^a	Cross-reacting drug ^b
Opiates	Quinolone antibiotics (eg, levofloxacir ofloxacin) ^{96,97}
Buprenorphine	Tramadol (analgesic) ¹¹⁴



Risks for Diversion: Discussing the Harms with Patients

- Diversion may lead to harmful medical consequences, including fatal overdose
- Diversion lead to harmful social consequences (e.g., arrest, jail)
- Diversion can jeopardize treatment participation and treatment availability

Risks for Diversion

- Pseudopatients ("double-dippers") seeking to divert drug
- Under-prescribing
 - Inadequate withdrawal suppression
 Inadequate opioid blockad
 - madequate opioid bio
- Over-prescribing
- Failure to address the disorder beyond medication

What can physicians do to decrease risk of diversion?

- · Comprehensive Evaluation at Intake
 - Checking state prescription monitoring programs to ensure patient is receiving treatment from only you
 - Confirm diagnosis
 - · Positive urine test for opioids at admission
 - Positive random test for buprenorphine during treatment
 - · Collateral information
 - In clinic dosing
 - Random medication call back

DEA Overview and Audit

The U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) and state DEA oversee office-based buprenorphine treatment and have the right to inspect physicians' buprenorphine practices at any time In case of a DEA audit (random and unscheduled), you may be asked to present the following information:

- · documentation of your waiver to prescribe buprenorphine
- treatment logs, including information on how many patients are currently in treatment
- documentation of prescriptions given
- dispensing practices, for physicians who are dispensing buprenorphine tablets from their offices
- · DEA license address must match practice location

Conclusion

Induction – multiple models discussed

- •Tapering monitor carefully
- •Urine Testing regular drug panel and test for buprenorphine
- •Diversion safeguards
- •DEA keep your patient list